

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Appn. Number: 09/628,727
Appn. Filed: July 28, 2000
Applicant: Philip R. Krause
Customer No: 35197
Title: Electronic Text Reading Environment Enhancement Method
and Apparatus
Examiner/GAU: Cong-Lac Huynh/2178
Date: December 29, 2008

Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Attached is a revised listing of the claims presented in the April 13, 2007 amendment, which includes all prior claims with status indicators. While I as yet have received no response to the April 13, 2007 amendment, and my private PAIR listing still indicates that the status date of this application is April 19, 2007, recent examination of the image file wrapper suggests that in August, 2008, the Examiner intended to mail a notice regarding a non-compliant or non-responsive amendment on the grounds that previously canceled claims were not listed in the original April 2007 amendment.

Because the original Office Action was not received (and according to the PAIR system, was evidently not mailed—otherwise the status date in PAIR would correspond to the date of mailing), the Applicant is not including a late fee with this amendment. Should the USPTO make the determination that a late fee is due, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner provide the rationale and evidence of mailing to the Applicant.